

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brct 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,466	08/26/2003	Dennis Jones	38305-0017	2312
26633	7590 02/09/2005		EXAM	INER
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1666 K STREET,NW			WEIER, ANTHONY J	
SUITE 300	EE1,NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		1761	-

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		in				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/647,466	JONES, DENNIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Weier	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>28-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed. 				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 30 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the original specification does not appear to contain support for said carbohydrate not including glycerol.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 32, the term "organoleptically acceptable" is indefinite in that it is not clear as to what is encompassed by same taking into mind what is organoleptically acceptable to one person may not be to another.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/647,466

Art Unit: 1761

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 28-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 6-9, 13, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6432457 taken together with Howard (U.S. Patent No. 4,900,566). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6432457 do not recite mixing the protein and carbohydrate together to form a plastic mass and forming same in a ribbon which is then cut to form a bar, such methods of preparing materials (via mixing) as a method for providing a homogenous product and forming through, specifically, cutting to attain a bar are notoriously well known alternatives in the extrusion art as taught, for example, by Howard. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed said steps of mixing and cutting to provide for bar-shaped confectionery composition of homogeneous composition as a matter of preference within options readily available in the art. In addition, the claims further call for said confectionery bar to be "organoleptically acceptable". However, Howard further teaches striving for organoleptically acceptable bar products (see col. 4). It would have been further obvious to have attained same as a known goal in the confectionery bar

Application/Control Number: 10/647,466

Art Unit: 1761

art. In addition, the instant claims, call for said protein material to have dissociated functionality with regard to water absorption and emulsification properties, the use of a carbohydrate that does not include glycerol, and the addition of at least one nutritional or pharmaceutical ingredient. The claims of U.S. Patent No. 6432457 do set forth the use of certain proteins that provide such dissociated functionality (see claim 6). It would have been further obvious to have employed same as a matter of preference depending on the availability, cost, etc. of same. As for the use of carbohydrates that inherently do not contain glycerol, it is not seen where such would provide for a patentable distinction, and, absent a showing of unexpected results, it would have been further obvious to have employed carbohydrates not having glycerol as a matter of preference depending on the choice of carbohydrates available, the cost of same, etc. As for the addition of at least one nutritional or pharmaceutical ingredient, Howard further discloses bars including same (see cols. 1-2 and Example 1). It would have been further obvious to have included same for their art recognized health benefits or as a benefit of convenience so that same need not be consumed separately.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Weier February 3, 20045

Anthony Weier Primary Examiner

Art Unit/17617